

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>			
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>		<b>Page 1 of 10</b>
Approved By: ORI Director	Signature	Date	Date First Effective: 07-24-06
Approved By: Nonmedical IRB Chair	Signature	Date	
Approved By: Medical IRB Chair	Signature	Date	Revision Date: 08-19-09

## **OBJECTIVE**

To describe the procedures for coordination of Institutional Review Board (IRB) research review and oversight for University of Kentucky (UK) research involving human subjects which is conducted at off-site locations or at multiple sites

## **GENERAL DESCRIPTION**

Off-site research activities are subject to special procedures for coordination of research review and may involve more than one IRB responsible for research oversight. In these cases, UK has established additional procedures to define the responsibilities of each IRB, coordinate communication among responsible IRB committees, and manage information obtained in off-site or multi-site research to ensure protection of human subjects. In coordinating off-site research reviews, the Office of Research Integrity (ORI) staff, in consultation with the Vice President for Research (VPR) and UK Legal Counsel, take into consideration the source of funding for the research activity, federal regulations, specific sponsor regulations governing human research protections, and institutional policy.

The UK IRB requires additional information and documentation for research that meets the definition of off-site research. Institutional policies apply to all off-site research involving human subjects regardless of funding source including all non-externally funded off-site research involving human subjects such as educational and other survey research.

The IRB application available from ORI staff includes instructions to investigators describing specific institutional and regulatory requirements for obtaining IRB approval of off-site research. ORI staff advise investigators on meeting the requirements, as appropriate.

In addition, UK may enter into formal agreements with other facilities which are not legal entities of UK to provide research review (i.e., to act as the relied-upon IRB), to rely on other institutions for research review, or to cooperate in review. UK enters into these types of

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 2 of 10</b>

arrangements through a Memorandum of Understanding, IRB Authorization Agreement, or contract with the institution(s) in question.

### *Definitions*

The term *off-site research* designates research conducted at performance sites that are not owned or operated by UK, at non-UK sites that are geographically separate from UK, or at sites that do not fall under the UK IRB's authority.

*Cooperative research* is defined as research conducted in cooperation with and at a performance site of an institution or facility that is not affiliated with UK or that does not fall under the UK IRB's authority. An off-site institution or facility may be domestic or international and may or may not have its own IRB.

## **RESPONSIBILITY**

Execution of SOP: Principal Investigator (PI)/Study Personnel, UK IRB, ORI Staff, VPR, UK Legal Counsel, recipients of subaward agreements to conduct research involving human subjects

## **PROCEDURES**

### *Types of Off-Site Research and Associated Requirements*

#### Research Involving Non-UK Performance Sites: Cooperative Research

1. The PI arranges for the off-site facility administrator to submit a letter on the facility's letterhead stationery addressing the following information:
  - Agreement of the facility's administration for the investigator to conduct the study at that site;
  - Review of the project by facility personnel with respect to issues of appropriateness for its human subjects population and adequacy to perform the research procedures as approved by the UK IRB (i.e., the facility has the appropriate equipment and personnel to conduct the research and/or store and dispense investigational drugs in a manner reviewed and approved by the UK IRB);
  - If applicable, assurance that personnel from the facility who collect data are responsible for implementing the research following IRB approved procedures. The facility administrator is responsible for including written confirmation that facility personnel have the appropriate expertise to carry out the research procedures as reviewed and approved by the UK IRB; and
  - If applicable, assurance that personnel from the facility who collect data have appropriate training in the protection of human subjects.

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 3 of 10</b>

2. For cooperative research projects, the PI determines whether an off-site facility is “engaged” in research according to the guidance outlined in the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) Engagement Memo by considering the nature of the involvement of off-site personnel in implementing research procedures and/or collecting data at the site. The ORI assists the PI in making this determination, as appropriate.
3. If the off-site non-UK facility is “engaged” in research, the PI determines, with ORI assistance, whether the off-site facility requires an assurance mechanism. (See the section on *Negotiation of Federal Assurances for Collaborating Institutions* for details.)
4. A cooperative research site “engaged” in research which has its own non-UK IRB is responsible for conducting the research review for that site and providing the PI with appropriate documentation to submit to the UK IRB. This documentation includes the Federalwide Assurance (FWA) number for all federally funded research and the non-UK IRB approval letter.
5. A cooperative research site that is “engaged” in research and which does not have its own IRB may need to establish one (or contract with a “for-hire” IRB) prior to its participation in the research. The cooperative site should register its IRB with the OHRP/Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as instructed by those agencies, if appropriate.
6. In cases in which research undergoes joint IRB review at UK and at the non-UK institution, an IRB Authorization Agreement is usually not necessary unless required by the sponsor. ORI staff evaluate each situation on a case-by-case basis.
7. In some cases, however, the off-site facility may enter into an agreement allowing the facility to rely on the UK IRB to review, approve, and provide continuing oversight of the off-site research. These circumstances may include but are not limited to the following: research that is not greater than minimal risk; or research involving non-UK institutions that do not have an IRB and are not the type of institution that would typically establish an IRB (e.g., a school system). UK may also serve as the relied-upon IRB if the PI of the study is a UK employee and he/she conducts the study at an off-site facility. In such cases, the off-site facility may be asked to sign an IRB Authorization or Individual Investigator Agreement to abide by the decisions and determinations of the UK IRB in the conduct of the research. (See the section on *Negotiation of IRB Authorization Agreements for Collaborating Institutions* for details.)
8. The VPR, in consultation with the ORI and, if appropriate, UK Legal Counsel, makes the final determination whether the UK IRB will serve as the relied-upon IRB.
9. UK may also agree to defer responsibility for IRB review to a non-UK institution’s IRB under limited circumstances. To defer responsibility, the non-UK IRB must have an

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 4 of 10</b>

approved FWA. Other criteria taken under consideration when determining whether or not UK will defer responsibility to another IRB include whether or not that institution is accredited by the Association for the Accreditation of Human Research Protection Programs (AAHRPP), and/or whether the cooperating institution is willing to sign an agreement in which it assures UK that it complies with the same federal regulations for the protection of human subjects. Examples of circumstances in which UK may defer IRB review may include cases where: the funding agency requires it; the UK employee role is limited such as data analysis only; the research began at another institution prior to employment of the investigator at UK and remains active only at the other institution (and any funds supporting the research remain under the control of the non-UK institution); and/or the research is not greater than minimal risk. The two institutions may sign an IRB Authorization Agreement, if appropriate.

10. For less than minimal risk studies, the VPR, the ORI Director, or designee may make the final determination as to whether UK IRB will defer review and oversight responsibilities to another IRB. For greater than minimal risk studies, the VPR, in consultation with the ORI and, if appropriate, with UK Legal Counsel, makes the final determination as to whether the UK IRB will defer review and oversight responsibility to another IRB.
11. In cases where the UK IRB relies on another non-UK IRB, the PI ensures that research activity does not begin prior to UK IRB review and approval of the documentation for each study site, as appropriate. Documentation may be in the form of IRB approval from the non-UK IRB, verification of federally assigned assurance numbers, and/or a letter of cooperation from the facility administrator, as appropriate.
12. The PI coordinates with project personnel at the off-site locations to initiate any required off-site research review.
13. ORI staff assist the PI in identifying required documentation on a case-by-case basis and maintain copies of all documentation from each off-site facility in the study file.
14. When the UK IRB conducts research reviews for off-site facilities, as appropriate to the agreement and in accordance with its standard policies and procedures for research review and oversight, the IRB ensures sufficient knowledge of local research context for the off-site location as detailed in the section on *IRB Knowledge of the Local Research Context*.
15. The PI submits documentation of approvals for off-site research in the initial submission to the UK IRB or as it becomes available and may authorize research to start at a site once the UK IRB approves the protocol. ORI staff maintain this information in the ORI database and the study files.

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 5 of 10</b>

#### Research Projects Involving Multiple Sites Where UK is the Lead Site/Lead Investigator

1. If UK is the lead site in a multi-site study or the UK investigator is the lead investigator, the PI provides additional information to the UK IRB to ensure ongoing communication among the participating IRBs and sites. The UK investigator submits the following information along with the IRB application:
  - For each non-UK site, a contact name and contact information (e.g., phone or e-mail) and name of individual who is responsible for such contact;
  - For each non-UK site, a letter from the appropriate administrator granting permission for the investigator to conduct the research at its site;
  - For each non-UK site with an approved FWA, the non-UK site's FWA number;
  - For each non-UK site, the relied upon IRB and appropriate documentation as needed (if joint review, a copy of the non-UK site's IRB approval letter).
2. Additionally, the UK investigator must submit to the IRB a written plan for the management of information that is relevant to the protection of human subjects, such as reporting unanticipated problems, protocol modifications, and interim results from all participating sites.

#### Research at Geographically Separate Off-Site Location with No Cooperating Institution/Facility/Organization

1. In the IRB application, the PI provides the necessary information, as appropriate, on the subject populations, the cultural context, and the languages understood by the human subjects.
2. If the IRB membership does not have the appropriate expertise to conduct the review, ORI staff and/or the PI assists the IRB in identifying cultural consultants. (See procedures outlined in the Initial Full Review, Expedited Initial Review, and IRB Member and Consultant Conflict of Interest SOPs.) The PI may supply the name of an appropriate consultant in the IRB application.
3. Cultural consultants may review consent forms, provide verifications of translations, and provide guidance on the impact of the research on subjects and the impact of the culture on the research to be conducted.

#### Research at Geographically Separate UK-Owned Site with Non-UK Employees

1. ORI staff assist the PI in determining whether the non-UK employees will actively participate in the implementation of research procedures or will obtain individually identifiable private data about human subjects for research purposes. If the non-UK

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 6 of 10</b>

employees are engaged in the research, then the UK human research protection policy applies to those personnel. They must complete the appropriate human subjects protection training, and the PI lists them as study personnel in the IRB application.

2. The PI provides the IRB the necessary information, as appropriate, on the subject populations, the cultural context, and the languages understood by the human subjects.
3. If the IRB does not have the appropriate expertise to conduct the review, ORI staff and/or the PI assists the IRB in identifying cultural consultants. (See the procedures outlined in the Initial Full Review, Expedited Initial Review, and IRB Member and Consultant Conflict of Interest SOPs.) The PI may supply the name of an appropriate consultant in the IRB application.

#### Sites Operating under a Formal Agreement with the University of Kentucky IRB

1. UK may enter into a formal agreement to serve as the relied-upon IRB for a single off-site facility, which is not a legal entity of UK, by signing a Memorandum of Understanding, contract, or other official written agreement. Unlike the IRB Authorization Agreement, which applies to single projects, a formal agreement provides for ongoing IRB oversight of some or all of the research involving human subjects at the off-site facility.
2. In these cases, the formal agreement outlines the relationship between the institutions and documents the authority granted to the institution to serve as the relied-upon IRB for the off-site facility.
3. Sites operating under a formal agreement must file their own individual assurance with the OHRP and list the appropriate UK IRB committee(s) as the designated IRB on the assurance. The Signatory Official for each institution signs all formal agreements. The VPR serves as the Signatory Official for UK.
4. The terms of the formal agreement specify appropriate human subjects education and training resources for investigators at the cooperating site as well as education and training for UK IRB members pertaining to IRB knowledge of the local research context, including distinct subject populations (i.e., veterans, non-English speaking populations, etc.) See the section on *IRB Knowledge of Local Research Context* for additional details.
5. The ORI maintains a record of current formal agreements on file.

*Negotiation of Federal Assurances for Collaborating Institutions (Applicable to Federally Funded Research)*

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 7 of 10</b>

1. The institution is responsible for ensuring that all performance sites and investigators engaged in its federally supported research involving human subjects operate under an appropriate OHRP or other federally approved assurance. In general, institutions affiliated solely through professional or collaborative arrangements apply to OHRP for their own assurance. OHRP offers a number of different assurance mechanisms, including the FWA, Individual Investigator Agreement, and IRB Authorization Agreements. If a federal agency that is not a division of the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) supports the research, there may be additional requirements. ORI staff determine these additional requirements on a case-by-case basis with the sponsoring agency.
2. Off-site facilities determine the appropriate assurance mechanism with assistance from the OHRP based on such issues as the funding source, nature of the research, ownership of the performance site, and affiliation of the individuals collecting the data.
3. The PI assists performance sites without an IRB which are “engaged” in research in obtaining the appropriate assurance and IRB approvals. The ORI advises the PI throughout the process, as appropriate.
4. Off-site facilities submit an application for an assurance to the OHRP and designate an institutional Signatory Official with authority to represent and commit the entire institution and all of its components to a legally binding agreement. If the Signatory Official is not legally authorized to represent an entity, it may not be covered under the assurance.
5. In some cases, an institution may operate under another institution’s assurance with the approval of the supporting agency. In such cases, UK may enter into a formal IRB Authorization Agreement with the collaborating institution for review, approval, and continuing oversight of the research in question. (See *Negotiation of an IRB Authorization Agreement with Collaborating Institutions* for more information.)
6. The institution’s assurance may also cover independent investigators who are not an employee of the institution only in accordance with a formal written agreement of commitment to relevant human subject protection policies and IRB oversight. The institutions may formalize such agreements under the sample OHRP Individual Investigator Agreement or by a commitment agreement developed by the institutions. The institution entering into the commitment agreement maintains the agreement on file and submits copies to OHRP upon request.

*Negotiation of an IRB Authorization Agreement with Collaborating Institutions*

1. Cooperative research studies involving multiple institutions may rely on cooperative review. In such cases, participating IRBs enter into a written cooperative review agreement

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 8 of 10</b>

identifying the specific IRB designated to provide review and detailing the respective responsibilities of the IRB and each institution under the review agreement.

2. Under an IRB Authorization Agreement, both institutions agree that one institution is responsible for providing IRB review and the second will rely on the other for IRB review for a single specified project. IRB Authorization Agreements list the federal assurance number for each institution, designate the specific project to which the agreement pertains, and specify that the agreement applies to no other research projects.
3. The Authorized Officials for both institutions must approve the agreement in writing. The UK VPR signs all IRB Authorization Agreements as the Signatory Official for UK under its assurance. Both institutions maintain an IRB Authorization Agreement on file and agree to submit the document to OHRP upon request.
4. The IRB which agrees to review studies conducted at another institution (primary IRB) has the responsibility for initial and continuing review of the research. The primary IRB takes into account the required criteria for approval, the applicable regulations (e.g. 21CRF 50 or 56), the facilities and capabilities of the other institution, the measures to be taken by the participating institution to ensure compliance with the IRB's determinations, and community attitudes or local research context, as appropriate. (See the section on *IRB Knowledge of Local Research Context* for additional information.)
5. The primary IRB under an IRB Authorization Agreement is responsible for conveying approvals to all participating sites, either directly to the IRB or through the respective PI.
6. In cases in which UK relies on another designated IRB under an IRB Authorization Agreement, the PI, with assistance from the ORI, is responsible for providing information to the non-UK IRB assuring sufficient consideration of local research context for the UK component(s) of the study.
7. When the UK IRB relies on a non-UK IRB for review of research under an IRB Authorization Agreement, it agrees to abide by the decisions and determinations made by the non-UK IRB.
8. Likewise, individual investigators agree to abide by those same decisions and determinations and may not modify or alter the research protocol without prior written approval of the non-UK IRB.
9. The PI sends all required reports directly to the non-UK IRB with copies to the UK IRB/ORI, as appropriate.

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 9 of 10</b>

10. Additional information on the negotiation of subaward agreements for off-site sponsored research may be found in the Office of Sponsored Projects Administration/IRB/ORI Coordination SOP.

*IRB Knowledge of Local Research Context*

1. In accordance with OHRP guidance, when the UK IRB serves as the relied-upon IRB for another institution or when the research involves distinct subject populations (non-English speaking populations, veterans, etc.), the UK IRB ensures that it possesses or obtains sufficient knowledge of the local research context even when the IRB is geographically removed from the off-site research location.
2. The PI supports the IRB in understanding the local research context by providing the IRB necessary information, as appropriate, on:
  - The anticipated scope of the off-site facility's research activities;
  - The types of subject populations likely to be involved;
  - The size and complexity of the institution;
  - Institutional commitments and regulations;
  - Applicable law;
  - Standards of professional conduct and practice;
  - Method for equitable selection of subjects;
  - Method for protection of privacy of subjects;
  - Method for maintenance of confidentiality of data;
  - Languages understood by prospective subjects;
  - Method for minimizing the possibility of coercion or undue influence in seeking consent;
  - Safeguards to protect the rights and welfare of vulnerable subjects.
3. In cases where the UK IRB conducts non-local review, members must have sufficient knowledge of the community from which the subjects are drawn to ensure protection of subject rights and appropriateness of the consent process for the subject population. In addition, the IRB must be sensitive to community laws and mores. The IRB may ensure the necessary expertise and knowledge to make appropriate determinations regarding the local research context through one or more of the following activities, as appropriate to the level of risk and in accordance with OHRP guidance and FDA regulation:
  - Personal knowledge of the local research context on the part of one or more IRB members, such knowledge obtained through extended direct experience with the research institution, its subject populations, and its surrounding community;
  - Review of the proposed research by representatives from the facility or by one or more ad hoc or cultural consultants with knowledge of the local research context. Ad hoc or cultural consultants may provide comments or recommendations in writing to the IRB prior to the meeting or attend the convened meeting to participate in the review, either

<b>University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures</b>		
<b>SOP #1-6 Revision #3</b>	<b>TITLE: Off-Site Research</b>	<b>Page 10 of 10</b>

- physically or through audiovisual or telephone conference, when participation is deemed warranted by the consultant(s) or any one member of the IRB;
- Systematic reciprocal documented interchange between the IRB and elements of the local research context through periodic visits to the research site by one or more IRB members/ORI staff or University representatives in order to obtain and maintain knowledge of the local research context; periodic discussion with appropriate consultants knowledgeable about the local research context; interaction with one or more designated institutional liaisons; and/or review of relevant written materials;
  - Appointment of an IRB member from the community in question.
4. ORI staff assist the PI in addressing the requirements for information on the local research context upon request.
  5. ORI staff assist the IRB in identifying appropriate consultants and distributing appropriate review materials pertaining to the local research context to IRB members, as appropriate.
  6. ORI staff maintain documentation in the database and the study file of the local research context and the measures taken to ensure sufficient IRB knowledge of that context.
  7. The IRB includes the name and toll-free contact information for an ORI contact in the consent document for non-local IRB review or designates an individual at the research site to serve as the contact to relay reports to the IRB.
  8. In the minutes of the meeting or in the IRB file, ORI staff or the IRB reviewer documents the procedures used to ensure that the IRB adequately considered community attitudes.

## **REFERENCES**

Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP)  
 Engagement Memo  
 Terms of the Federalwide Assurance of Protection for Human Subjects  
 IRB Knowledge of Local Research Context Guidance  
 Sample Unaffiliated Investigator Agreement

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)  
 Cooperative Research Guidance  
 Non-Local IRB Review Guidance

21 CFR parts 50 and 56  
 45 CFR 46.114