

University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures			
SOP #3-7 Revision #4	TITLE: Data and Safety Monitoring Plan		Page 1 of 3
Approved By: ORI Director	Signature	Date	Date First Effective: 10-15-05
Approved By: Nonmedical IRB Chair	Signature	Date	
Approved By: Medical IRB Chair	Signature	Date	Revision Date: 10-12-11

OBJECTIVE

To describe Institutional Review Board (IRB) review of data and safety monitoring plan(s) (DSMP) to ensure adequate protection is in place for subjects

GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Investigators develop data and safety monitoring plans as a mechanism for assuring the safety of human subjects and human research data, the validity of data, and the appropriate termination of studies. The IRB requires review and approval of data and safety monitoring plans for greater than minimal risk research, clinical research, or clinical investigations funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) or regulated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

RESPONSIBILITY

Execution of SOP: Principal Investigator (PI)/Study Personnel, IRB

PROCEDURES

1. At initial review, investigators conducting greater than minimal risk research, clinical research, or NIH funded/FDA regulated clinical investigations include a description of the proposed data and safety monitoring plan in the IRB application.
2. During initial review, the IRB reviews the general description of the DSMP to determine that adequate protections for human subjects are in place. (See the Initial Full Review SOP.)
3. The IRB recognizes that the elements of a monitoring plan may vary depending on the potential risks, complexity, and nature of the trial. The IRB reviews several elements of the DSMP, which may include but are not limited to:

University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #3-7 Revision #4	TITLE: Data and Safety Monitoring Plan	Page 2 of 3

- Plans for monitoring the progress of trials and the safety of subjects;
 - Plans for assuring compliance with requirements regarding the reporting of adverse events;
 - Plans for assuring that any action resulting in a temporary or permanent suspension of a clinical trial is reported to the appropriate agencies;
 - Plans for assuring data accuracy and protocol compliance;
 - Plans for assuring communication among multi-center sites adequately protect the subject (for multicenter studies where the lead PI is employed by UK or UK is the coordinating institution).
4. The IRB may request additional information regarding the DSMP at initial review.
 5. After reviewing the plan, the IRB may determine that a formal DSMP is not necessary or that the study may require an independent individual for monitoring. For example, in studies of small numbers of subjects, toxicity may more readily become apparent through close monitoring of individual subjects while in larger studies risk may better be addressed through statistical comparisons of treatment groups.
 6. The IRB reviews investigator-initiated protocols which require a Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB) for membership and charter, if applicable, and DSMB responsibilities, all of which include, but are not limited to, the following:

DSMB Membership

- Multidisciplinary representation from relevant specialties. (This may include experts such as bioethicists, biostatisticians and basic scientists.);
- Membership limited to individuals free of apparent significant conflicts of interest, whether financial, intellectual, professional, or regulatory in nature;
- Size appropriate to the type of study.

DSMB Charter

- Detailed presentation of the membership composition, including qualifications and experience;
- Roles and responsibilities of the DSMB and, if relevant:
- Authority of the DSMB (e.g., advisory to the sponsor, PI);
- Timing and purpose of DSMB meetings;
- Procedures for maintaining confidentiality;
- Format, content, and frequency of DSMB reports;
- Statistical procedures, including monitoring guidelines, to monitor the identified primary, secondary, and safety outcome variables; and

University of Kentucky Office of Research Integrity and Institutional Review Board Standard Operating Procedures		
SOP #3-7 Revision #4	TITLE: Data and Safety Monitoring Plan	Page 3 of 3

- Plans for changing frequency of interim analysis as well as procedures for recommending protocol changes.

DSMB Responsibilities

- Initial review of the proposed research to assure quality study conduct;
 - Procedures to review and assure quality of study conduct including data management and quality control procedures;
 - Evaluation of the quality of ongoing study conduct by reviewing the study accrual, compliance with eligibility, subject adherence to study requirements, and accuracy and completeness of data;
 - Consideration of factors external to the study when relevant information becomes available, such as scientific or therapeutic developments that may have an impact on the safety of the subjects or the ethics of the study;
 - Recommendations of early termination based on efficacy results;
 - Recommendations of termination due to unfavorable benefit-to-risk or inability to answer study questions;
 - Recommendations for continuation of ongoing studies;
 - Consideration of overall picture, primary and secondary analysis;
 - Modification of sample sizes based on ongoing assessment of event rates; and
 - Review of final results.
7. The PI submits documentation evidencing DSMP or DSMB activities (i.e., summary report, meeting minutes) to the IRB prior to continuation review if provided to the PI by the sponsor or prepared by the PI, as described in the DSMP. The IRB reviews DSMP or DSMB materials received prior to continuation review as a modification request. (See Modification, Deviations, and Exceptions--IRB Review of Changes SOP.)
 8. The PI is responsible for acquiring evidence that DSMB activities have occurred if the sponsor has not been providing the documentation. At the time of continuation review of the study, the PI submits documentation representing DSMP or DSMB activities (i.e., summary report, meeting minutes) not previously submitted to the IRB.
 9. At continuation review, the IRB reassesses the risk category and determines whether the PI should provide additional information in the informed consent document based on the information provided in the DSMP or DSMB materials.

REFERENCES

NIH Policy for Data and Safety Monitoring,
<http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/not98-084.html>