Communicating New Information to Previously Enrolled Participants

(Would you ever need to re-consent a research participant?)



Informed consent is a process that involves dynamic and continuing exchange of information throughout a study.

Federal human subject research regulations <u>do not</u> <u>reference the term reconsent</u>. However, the regulations do state that, when appropriate, participants will be provided with significant new findings that develop during the research which may relate to their willingness to continue participation (45 CFR 46.116(c)(5)).

When new information related to the study becomes available, study modifications proposed, or new risks/alternatives identified, investigators notify the IRB. The investigator, sponsor, and IRB determine whether reconsent is warranted, or other means of notification is most appropriate. The IRB maintains documentation of significant new findings provided to participants (45 CFR 46.115(a)(7)).





Communication Methods and Process to Inform Participants

The investigator proposes, and the IRB determines the method or combination of methods for providing new information to future, current, and/or past participants (i.e., notification, reconsent, both).

The following is a list of potential communication methods:

- reconsent with revised consent document:
- reconsent with a consent addendum;
- consent cover letter outlining changes;
- notification letter or email;
- · phone consent with a waiver of documentation; and
- phone call with a notification script.

Regardless of the method chosen, participants should be provided with the opportunity to discuss the information with the researchers and the researchers should ensure participants' understanding of the information and appreciation for implications.

Consider the following criteria when submitting justification to the IRB about who, what, and how you propose to communicate new information.

Considerations and Criteria for Determining the Most Appropriate Communication Method/Process	
Nature of the information (e.g., protocol change, schedule or procedure change, new risk, new advantageous alternative, minor administrative edits)	 Would it impact a participant's ability or willingness to continue participation? Does it impact participation (e.g., added study requirements)? Administrative changes that do not impact rights, welfare, safety or participation do not warrant reconsent.
Complexity of the information	 Does it need a verbal explanation or would written notification be self-explanatory? Study personnel communicating new information should be qualified and authorized to obtain informed consent.
Stage of the research (e.g., recruitment, treatment, follow-up)	Does it affect current, past, future or a sub-set of participants? For example, a change in enrollment criteria for a multi-site study is irrelevant for sites closed to recruitment.
Participant population (e.g., all or select sub-set)	Does it affect all or only select individuals? New risk may apply to a treatment group; however, if the study is blinded, will need to notify all.
 Degree of documentation (e.g., note to file, phone script, written notification, signed consent addendum or revised consent) 	External sponsors may choose reconsent over notification based on this criterion. However, overuse of reconsent may diminish the participant's perspective of the importance of the consent process.
Urgency of information (e.g., safety information, schedule change)	 Do participants need to be promptly or immediately informed (e.g., discontinue treatment for safety concerns; breach of confidentiality involving social security numbers)? When is the next scheduled visit or opportunity for interaction and reconsent?

The Secretary's Advisory Committee for Human Research Protections provides a <u>Table of Options</u> based on the significance of the change and whether the information is time-sensitive, also taking into account the recruitment status of the study.

<u>SACHRP Reconsent Appendix 2</u>



Potential Reasons for Reconsent or Notification

Notification or reconsent may be required for various reasons including, but not limited to, cases where:

- the study protocol/procedure has been modified (e.g., increase time commitment or burden);
- new safety or effectiveness information exists:
- new alternative treatment becomes available;
- a pediatric participant reaches adulthood (18 years old);
- original consent or process not properly executed (e.g., participants were consented by individuals not listed on the study personnel list or not HSP trained or using invalid form);
- potential consent capacity concerns; or
- other changes as required by the IRB or sponsoring agency.

Reconsent Form and Process

If reconsent is needed, the IRB reviews the revised consent form and materials, as well as the proposed methods and process to reconsent participants.

Typically, all **active participants** must be reconsented with the revised form unless the investigator and IRB agree the change does not impact current active participants.

A strategy to facilitate the process involves use of a cover page outlining the changes and describing the potential impact on participants.

Generally, you would use the IRB-approved revised form for enrollment of future participants.

Sources:

March 2020 Secretary's Advisory Committee on Human Research Protections New Information Provided to Previously Enrolled Research Subjects – <u>Attachment A</u>; Cornell Medical College Office of Clinical Trial Administration; University of Kansas Human Research Protection Program, Mayo Clinic Human Research Protection Program, and 2019 AAHRPP Conference Session F3: Revisiting Reconsent: Is it an Urban Legend or a Regulatory Requirement.

7/8/21

J:\Master Outreach Documents\Survival Handbook\D - Guidance-Policy-Educational\D100-Reconsent-Guidance.doc

IRB Application Forms that address New Information

Modification Request

Form - includes questions to assess whether the change increases risk to study participants, is due to an Unanticipated Problem or Adverse Event (UP/AE), Protocol Violation, and whether it might relate to a participant's willingness to continue to take part in the research.

If so, the researcher is asked to state how the information will be communicated to participants (i.e., reconsent, letter, etc.).

The **UP/AE Forms** include questions to assess need for consent revision and address whether participants should be informed.